# Memorandum

То:	Interested Parties
From:	Majority Staff of the Senate Committee on Finance Subcommittee on Trade (Chaired
	by Senator Ron Wyden)
Date:	January 25, 2011
Re:	Updated assessment of the U.SKorea Free Trade Agreement (FTA)

#### Summary

There is concern that current debate on the merits and potential economic impact of the U.S.-Korea FTA lacks the necessary candor for policy makers and the American public to engage in productive conversation about trade, globalization, and the future of the American economy. This memo provides an updated quantitative assessment that reexamines the potential economic impacts of the U.S.-Korea FTA on the U.S. economy on a national, state-by-state, and industry-specific basis. It uses recent trade data, and part of the discussion considers the implications of the recent economic downturn. The purpose of presenting this updated assessment is to enable straight talk when the merits of the agreement and more broadly, trade in general, are discussed.

Section I of this memorandum provides an overview and rationale for an updated quantitative assessment of the U.S.-Korea FTA. Section II updates results from economic modeling simulations of the quantifiable elements of a fully implemented U.S.-Korea FTA under two distinct scenarios: one that reflects more robust economic conditions closer to those in 2007 when the agreement was concluded (i.e., lower unemployment and full capacity), and one that reflects relatively weaker economic conditions closer to those at present (i.e., higher unemployment and underused capacity). Section III provides more detailed results of potential employment impacts of the U.S.-Korea FTA on a state and sector basis under the latter scenario.

#### Section I

#### Overview

The U.S.-Korea Free Trade Agreement FTA, initially negotiated in 2007 and revised in 2010, has the potential to be the most commercially significant FTA negotiated by the United States in more than 17 years (after the North American Free Trade Agreement), opening up a potentially large market to U.S. exporters. Yet, the debate on the merits and potential economic impact of the agreement is increasingly polarized. Supporters claim that the agreement will be a boon to U.S. exporters, while detractors claim that the agreement will be a boon to U.S. exporters, while detractors claim that the agreement will be a boon to U.S. exporters. Yet, the debate on the merits and potential economic impact of the agreement is increasingly polarized.

In 2007, the independent U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) concluded that the agreement would have a positive, yet modest, effect on U.S. economic output and employment in most sectors of the economy. For example, according to the ITC's official study, the reduction in tariffs and tariff-rate quotas on goods as a result of the agreement would add nearly \$12 billion to U.S. GDP, \$11 billion in U.S. exports of goods to Korea, and about \$7 billion in U.S. imports from Korea. The ITC report showed that the FTA would likely have a small positive effect on economic output and employment on most sectors of the U.S. economy, with output and employment increasing in most sectors, while declining in others.

#### Updated Assessment of the U.S.-Korea FTA

The U.S. economy has changed significantly since 2007. Since then, the U.S. suffered a severe financial crisis and one of the worst economic recessions since the Great Depression. The current unemployment rate – which hovers around 10 percent – contributes to the souring of the national mood toward trade. It is inappropriate to preach the benefits of freer trade with Korea without acknowledging the potential loss of American jobs as a result of this agreement, and engaging in a policy discussion about how the U.S. will face growing international competition in the new decade and, indeed, the new century.

For these reasons, we requested that ITC staff with economic modeling skills provide quantitative technical assistance examining the agreement based on current data and economic conditions. The ITC staff then conveyed these quantitative results to the staff of the Senate Committee on Finance's Subcommittee on Trade. We (the staff of the subcommittee) find that these results show that, in an economy with substantial unemployment and underused capital, the agreement has the potential to create about 280,000 American jobs, but that job growth would be uneven among states and across industry sectors, with some sectors losing out while others benefit.

#### Section II<sup>1</sup>

This section provides results from economic model simulations of the fully implemented U.S.-Korea FTA.<sup>2</sup> The results are reported for changes in U.S. GDP and welfare, exports, imports, output, and employment by sector (tables 1 to 4). Changes in state- and region-level gross product and employment, and state and regional output and employment by sector are also reported (tables 5 to 8).

The simulations focus on the U.S.-Korea FTA's tariff and tariff-rate quota (TRQ) reductions. The lack of necessary data precludes the quantification of the FTA provisions relating to services, investment, labor, and environment. As such, the simulations tend to underestimate any positive economic effects of the FTA in these sectors.

The method used for the simulations is a computable general equilibrium (CGE) simulation. The specific CGE models used are the Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) model and the U.S. Applied General Equilibrium (USAGE) model.

The base data reflect 2009 trade and economic conditions. The models simulate the economic effects of FTA's tariff and TRQ reductions in the U.S. and world economies—in other words, what the economy would have looked like in 2009 had the FTA been fully implemented, holding all else constant.<sup>3</sup>

Simulation results reflect long-term adjustments of supply, demand, and resource allocations as a result of the FTA. The models do not consider interim adjustments that might be felt as different provisions of the agreement enter into force, nor does it consider various adjustment costs that may occur over time.

In the simulations, bilateral U.S.-Korea ad-valorem tariff equivalents (AVEs) are all reduced to zero (i.e., free of duty), with certain exceptions. No change in quantity traded is anticipated in products that fall within the rice sector, the raw milk sector, the sugarcane and sugar beet sector, or the manufactured sugar sector. In addition, as U.S. exports of oranges to Korea do not experience full liberalization because of the ongoing seasonal orange TRQ in the FTA, the Korea AVE tariffs in the vegetable, fruits, and nuts sector declines from an initial 38.5 percent to 6.7 percent rather than to zero. To isolate the effect of FTA tariff reductions on beef trade from the effects of SPS issues, U.S.-Korea beef trade is based on 2003 data, the most recent year of normal trade prior to the Korean ban on beef imports from the United States. This assumption allows for an estimate that measures the potential changes in trade based solely on the removal of tariffs resulting from implementation of the FTA, and assumes no significant SPS measures that would restrict access to the Korean market. Lastly, Korean liberalization with respect to motor vehicles also includes the reduction of the excise tax on automobiles with an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Material presented in Sections II and III was prepared by staff of the Office of Economics of the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC or Commission) in response to requests by staff from the Senate Finance Trade Subcommittee, and does not reflect the views of the Commission or Commissioners. The technical assistance is not an official Commission document and if referenced, it should be referenced "work of the staff of the USITC: not a Commission-approved document."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As negotiated in 2007. The economic model simulations do not take into account subsequent revisions to the agreement that were made in 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The model simulations show the marginal effect on the economy, relative to existing trends, of the removal or reduction of tariffs and TRQs as specified in the FTA. For example, a negative effect, such as a decrease in a commodity price or decrease in a sector's output, does not imply that the overall value will be negative as a result of the FTA. Rather the marginal effect of the FTA would either buttress existing negative economic trends or suppress positive ones. For a positive effect, it would do the opposite: enhance a positive economic trend, or suppress a negative one.

engine displacement over 2,000 cubic centimeters (cc). The tax, currently 10 percent, is expected to decline to 5 percent. Although the reduction is included in the FTA, it would apply to all producers; consequently, this change is implemented for all suppliers to the Korean market, including the United States and domestic Korean producers, prorated based on market share across the motor vehicles and parts sector.

We requested that ITC staff simulate two scenarios in the technical assistance:

In <u>Scenario A</u> the amount of labor and capital that is available in the U.S. economy is held fixed and wages and the cost of capital adjust to equilibrate supply and demand for labor and capital.<sup>4</sup> The Scenario A simulation of fixed labor and capital would reflect a condition close to full employment and full capacity utilization where the FTA creates greater demand for labor and capital in certain industry sectors that must then be reallocated from other sectors. Thus the simulated result for total U.S. employment is zero; employment is reallocated from sector to sector and from state to state. Total employment for a particular state may decline but this does not imply that unemployment is generated in that state; it implies that a certain quantity of labor is now employed in a neighboring state. Many economists consider these types of simulation models, which are based on standard assumptions, to provide reasonable economic responses to tariff changes in most years.

In <u>Scenario B</u> the amount of labor and capital that is available in the U.S. economy is variable; real wages and the cost of capital are held fixed. Under these conditions, the simulations show that U.S. employment would expand by 0.16 percent, or approximately 280,000 jobs. The Scenario B simulation would reflect a condition of less than full employment and excess capacity where the FTA can result in higher employment and increased capacity utilization, drawing resources from currently unemployed labor and capital as well as from other sectors. This approach more closely approximates current U.S. economic conditions than Scenario A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The labor and capacity utilization mechanisms in Scenario A are the same as those in the simulations performed in the 2007 USITC publication about the U.S.-Korea FTA (USITC, *U.S.-Korea Free Trade Agreement: Potential Economy-wide and Selected Sectoral Effects*, Sept. 2007).

Table 1 U.SKorea FTA: Simulated effects of goods trade liberalization on U.S. GDP and we	lfare under
two different scenarios about capacity utilization and labor employment	

	(	Change from	2009 baseline		
	Scenario	D A	Scenario B		
	Fixed capacity ut		Fixed real wages		
Indicator	employm		to capit		
	Million dollars	Percent	Million dollars	Percent	
GDP	10,381	0.1	27,261	0.2	
Payments to factors	8,670	0.1	21,649	0.2	
Land	508	0.8	698	1.1	
Unskilled labor	2,670	0.1	6,395	0.2	
Skilled labor	1,702	0.1	4,418	0.2	
Capital	3,835	0.1	9,317	0.2	
Natural resources	-45	0.0	821	0.6	
Welfare	1,758	0.0	20,652	0.1	
Efficiency	59	0.0	5,452	0.0	
Changes in the price of capital goods	215	0.0	20	0.0	
Terms of trade (relative price of imports to exports)	1,483	0.0	742	0.0	
Capacity utilization	0	0.0	6,688	0.0	
Labor employment	0	0.0	7,750	0.1	

*Note*: Zero values indicate values less than 0.05 percent in absolute value. The difference between the sum of payments to factors and GDP is due to changes in net tax payments.

		U.S. exports to	o Korea (f.o.b.)		U.S. imports from Korea (LDP)			
		Korean AVE			U.S. AVE			
	Exports tariff before		Change in U.S. exports to		Imports	tariff before	Change in U.S. imports	
GTAP Sector	before FTA	FTA	Korea afte	r FTA	before FTA	FTA	from Korea after FTA	
	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Percen
Paddy and processed rice	43	n/a	0	0.1	1	7.5	0	0.0
Wheat	292	1.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	12.
Cereal grains n.e.c.	1,211	2.2	12	1.0	0	1.1	0	5.
Vegetables, fruit, nuts	274	38.5	202	73.7	35	0.7	2	7.
Oil seeds	320	2.5	19	6.1	0	0.0	0	8.
Sugarcane, sugar beet	0	3.0	0	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Plant-based fibers	91	1.0	6	6.9	0	0.5	0	7.
Crops n.e.c.	213	5.6	63	29.7	7	1.1	1	15.0
Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses	8	5.9	1	10.2	0	0.0	0	7.3
Animal products n.e.c.	290	3.3	36	12.3	1	0.5	0	4.0
Raw milk	0	0.0	0	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.1
Wool, silkworm cocoons	0	1.0	0	15.8	0	0.0	0	10.3
Forestry	180	2.0	15	8.4	0	0.2	0	2.9
Fishing	27	19.6	14	51.9	23	0.0	0	1.2
Coal	220	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.
Oil and gas	0	0.0	0	-0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Minerals n.e.c.	414		13	3.1	2	0.0	0	-0.1
Bovine meat products	1,085	38.0	1,190	109.8	6	0.4	1	14.
Meat products n.e.c.	301	24.8	611	203.0	1	2.4	0	37.0
Vegetable oils and fats	194	5.4	35	17.8	2	4.1	1	38.1
Dairy products	71	39.6	263	368.7	6	16.8	11	181.0
Sugar	2		0	-1.2	0	8.8	0	0.0
Food products n.e.c.	813	10.0	320	39.3	320	4.6	54	16.9
Beverages and tobacco products	97	35.1	88	90.3	88	3.3	4	4.8
Textiles	175	8.3	145	82.9	1,043	11.0	979	93.9
Wearing apparel	76	12.4	100	131.1	158	16.5	260	164.
Leather products	81	6.2	46	57.3	44	8.8	52	118.9
Wood products	58	4.8	21	36.4	125	0.5	3	2.
Paper products, publishing	614	2.4	81	13.2	415	0.3	5	1.3
	403	0.0	0	0.0	1,087	2.1	75	6.9
Petroleum, coal products	5,665	6.7	2,541	44.9	3,093	3.0	585	18.9
Chemical, rubber, plastic products								
Mineral products n.e.c.	254	7.8	135	52.9	184	2.1	18	10.0
Ferrous metals	1,221	1.2	78	6.4	1,284	0.2	8	0.0
Metals n.e.c.	661	3.7	222	33.6	151	2.4	29	19.1
Metal products	393	6.8	221	56.2	1,188	2.4	184	15.
Motor vehicles and parts	359	7.9	194	54.1	8,108	2.4	907	11.2
Transport equipment n.e.c.	798	0.9	59	7.4	497	0.1	-1	-0.1
Electronic equipment	3,128	0.6	138	4.4	13,182	0.2	230	1.
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	7,464	4.6	2,813	37.7	8,506	1.3	805	9.
Manufactures n.e.c.	424		169	39.9	314	3.4	74	23.
Other sectors	13,057	0.0	10	0.1	8,705	0	-46	-0.5
Total	40,978	4.4	9,862	24.1	48,578	1.3	4,243	8.

Table 2.A U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on U.S.-Korea bilateral trade, Scenario A (fixed capacity utilization and employment)

		U.S. exports to	Korea (f.o.b.)		U.S. imports from Korea (LDP)			
		Korean AVE	· · · · ·		U.S. AVE			
	Exports	tariff before	Change in U.S	S. exports to	Imports	tariff before	Change in U.	S. imports
GTAP Sector	before FTA	FTA	Korea aft		before FTA	FTA	from Korea after FTA	
	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Percent
Paddy and processed rice	43	n/a	0	0.1	1	7.5	0	0.0
Wheat	292	1.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	12.4
Cereal grains n.e.c.	1,211	2.2	12	1.0	0	1.1	0	5.9
0								5.9
Vegetables, fruit, nuts	274	38.5	202	73.7	35	0.7	3	
Oil seeds	320	2.5	19	6.1	0	0.0	0	8.6
Sugarcane, sugar beet	0	3.0	0	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Plant-based fibers	91	1.0	6	6.9	0	0.5	0	7.9
Crops n.e.c.	213	5.6	63	29.5	7	1.1	1	15.3
Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses	8	5.9	1	10.2	0	0.0	0	7.5
Animal products n.e.c.	290	3.3	36	12.4	1	0.5	0	4.1
Raw milk	0	0.0	0	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.1
Wool, silkworm cocoons	0	1.0	0	16.2	0	0.0	0	10.4
Forestry	180	2.0	15	8.3	0	0.2	0	3.2
Fishing	27	19.6	14	51.8	23	0.0	0	1.4
Coal	220	0.0	-1	-0.4	0	0.0	0	0.5
Oil and gas	0	0.0	0	-0.2	0	0.0	0	0.4
Minerals n.e.c.	414	1.9	12	2.9	2	0.0	0	0.4
Bovine meat products	1,085	38.0	1,191	109.8	6	0.4	1	14.8
•	301	24.8		203.3	1	2.4	0	37.6
Meat products n.e.c.	194	-	612 35	203.3	2	2.4 4.1	0	37.6
Vegetable oils and fats		5.4						
Dairy products	71	39.6	263	369.0	6	16.8	11	181.8
Sugar	2	43.5	0	-1.0	0	8.8	0	0.1
Food products n.e.c.	813	10.0	321	39.5	320	4.6	54	17.0
Beverages and tobacco products	97	35.1	88	90.4	88	3.3	4	4.9
Textiles	175	8.3	146	83.2	1,043	11.0	981	94.1
Wearing apparel	76	12.4	100	131.5	158	16.5	261	164.8
Leather products	81	6.2	47	57.7	44	8.8	52	119.1
Wood products	58	4.8	21	36.6	125	0.5	3	2.8
Paper products, publishing	614	2.4	82	13.4	415	0.3	6	1.4
Petroleum, coal products	403	0.0	0	0.1	1,087	2.1	77	7.1
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	5,665	6.7	2,552	45.1	3,093	3.0	588	19.0
Mineral products n.e.c.	254	7.8	135	53.0	184	2.1	19	10.1
Ferrous metals	1,221	1.2	79	6.5	1,284	0.2	10	0.8
Metals n.e.c.	661	3.7	223	33.8	151	2.4	29	19.4
Metal products	393	6.8	223	56.5	1,188	2.4	185	19.4
•	359		195			2.4		
Motor vehicles and parts		7.9		54.3	8,108		915	11.3
Transport equipment n.e.c.	798	0.9	61	7.7	497	0.1	0	0.0
Electronic equipment	3,128	0.6	147	4.7	13,182	0.2	248	1.9
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	7,464	4.6	2,836	38.0	8,506	1.3	811	9.5
Manufactures n.e.c.	424	5.3	170	40.2	314	3.4	75	23.7
Other sectors	13,057	0.0	22	0.2	8,705	0	-35	-0.4
Total	40,978	4.4	9,928	24.2	48,578	1.3	4,301	8.9

Table 2.B U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on U.S.-Korea bilateral trade, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

	U.S. ex	ports to the world (f.c	o.b.)	U.S. imports from the world (LDP)			
	Exports before			Imports before			
GTAP Sector	FTA	Change in U.S. expo	orts after FTA	FTA	Change in U.S. impo	orts after FTA	
	Million USD	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Million USD	Percen	
Paddy and processed rice	1,392	-8	-0.6	476	2	0.5	
Wheat	5,522	-39	-0.7	678	4	0.0	
Cereal grains n.e.c.	7,486	1	0.0	727	5	0.0	
Vegetables, fruit, nuts	13,730	148	1.1	10,765	34	0.3	
Oil seeds	9,590	-27	-0.3	714	3	0.	
Sugarcane, sugar beet	9	0	-0.8	10	0	0.0	
Plant-based fibers	4,837	-14	-0.3	22	0	0.3	
Crops n.e.c.	2,783	25	0.9	7,258	52	0.7	
Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses	680	-2	-0.3	1,627	27	1.	
Animal products n.e.c.	3,598	26	0.7	1,795	11	0.6	
Raw milk	4	0	-1.2	24	0	1.1	
Wool, silkworm cocoons	53	-1	-1.3	25	0	1.2	
Forestry	1,397	10	0.7	438	1	0.2	
Fishing	766		1.6	2,314	7	0.3	
Coal	12,936	-4	0.0	2,987	2	0.1	
Oil and gas	3,172		-0.2	234,671	146	0.1	
Minerals n.e.c.	6,940	8	0.1	11,167	8	0.1	
Bovine meat products	2,011	1,181	58.7	4,117	35	0.9	
Meat products n.e.c.	6,562	'	8.4	1,807	10	0.0	
Vegetable oils and fats	3,788	15	0.4	3,639	10	0.	
Dairy products	2,453	246	10.0	2,317	15	0.	
Sugar	2,455	-1	-0.5	1,602	5	0.3	
Food products n.e.c.	21,384	262	-0.5	31,605	86	0.3	
•	4,739	81	1.2	15,238	14	0 0.1	
Beverages and tobacco products	,	94	0.7	52,547	225		
	14,031			,		0.4	
Wearing apparel	2,525		3.6	65,095	90	0.1	
Leather products	2,033	23	1.1	34,409	47	0.1	
Wood products	9,823	-24	-0.2	48,168	123	0.3	
Paper products, publishing	31,379	-25	-0.1	24,988	65	0.3	
Petroleum, coal products	32,421	-12	0.0	102,148	63	0.1	
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	207,112		0.9	197,815	611	0.3	
Mineral products n.e.c.	11,003	92	0.8	23,080	58	0.3	
Ferrous metals	20,575		0.1	34,146	58	0.2	
Metals n.e.c.	31,170	66	0.2	41,937	83	0.2	
Metal products	23,709	111	0.5	37,160	172	0.9	
Notor vehicles and parts	92,839	-38	0.0	224,550	510	0.2	
Fransport equipment n.e.c.	94,407	-403	-0.4	40,110	106	0.3	
Electronic equipment	90,587	-436	-0.5	258,597	376	0.	
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	242,594	1,502	0.6	271,892	996	0.4	
Manufactures n.e.c.	14,475	85	0.6	69,955	145	0.2	
Other sectors	549,306	-1,286	-0.2	271,694	367	0.1	
Total	1,586,012	4,089	0.3	2,134,313	4,575	0.2	

Table 3.A U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on U.S. global trade, Scenario A (fixed capacity utilization and employment)

	U.S. ex	ports to the world (f.o	o.b.)	U.S. imports from the world (LDP)			
	Exports before			Imports before			
GTAP Sector	FTA	Change in U.S. expo	orts after FTA	FTA	Change in U.S. impo	orts after FTA	
	Million USD	Million USD	Percent	Million USD	Million USD	Percent	
Paddy and processed rice	1,392	-7	-0.5	476	2	0.5	
Wheat	5,522	-42	-0.8	678	5	0.7	
Cereal grains n.e.c.	7,486	2	0.0	727	6	0.8	
Vegetables, fruit, nuts	13,730	149	1.1	10,765	43	0.4	
Oil seeds	9,590	-28	-0.3	714	5	0.6	
Sugarcane, sugar beet	9	0	-0.9	10	0	0.2	
Plant-based fibers	4,837	-14	-0.3	22	0	0.4	
Crops n.e.c.	2,783	21	0.8	7,258	66	0.9	
Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses	680	-2	-0.3	1,627	29	1.8	
Animal products n.e.c.	3,598	27	0.7	1,795	13	0.7	
Raw milk	4	0	-1.2	24	0	1.2	
Wool, silkworm cocoons	53	0	-0.9	25	0	1.2	
Forestry	1,397	9	0.6	438	2	0.5	
Fishing	766	12	1.6	2,314	11	0.5	
Coal	12,936	-43	-0.3	2,987	17	0.6	
Oil and gas	3.172	-8	-0.3	234,671	702	0.3	
Minerals n.e.c.	6,940	1	0.0	11,167	56	0.5	
Bovine meat products	2,011	1,183	58.8	4,117	35	0.9	
Meat products n.e.c.	6,562	567	8.6	1,807	10	0.8	
Vegetable oils and fats	3,788	20	0.5	3,639	17	0.5	
Dairy products	2,453		10.2	2,317	15	0.7	
Sugar	189	-1	-0.3	1,602	5	0.3	
Food products n.e.c.	21,384	286	1.3	31,605	99	0.3	
Beverages and tobacco products	4,739	84	1.8	15,238	24	0.2	
Textiles	14,031	122	0.9	52,547	264	0.5	
Wearing apparel	2,525	97	3.8	65,095	130	0.2	
Leather products	2,033	28	1.4	34,409	74	0.2	
Wood products	9,823	-5	0.0	48,168	139	0.3	
Paper products, publishing	31,379	30	0.0	24,988	72	0.3	
Petroleum, coal products	32,421	-3	0.0	102,148	292	0.3	
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	207,112	2,064	1.0	197,815	781	0.4	
Mineral products n.e.c.	11,003	100	0.9	23,080	88	0.4	
Ferrous metals	20,575	40	0.9	34,146	104	0.3	
Metals n.e.c.	31,170	40 98	0.2	41,937	104	0.3	
	23,709	162	0.3	37,160	143	0.0	
Metal products	23,709 92,839	58	0.7	224,550	683	0.3	
Motor vehicles and parts	92,839 94,407	-183	-0.2	40,110	113	0.3	
Transport equipment n.e.c. Electronic equipment	94,407 90,587	-183 -198	-0.2	258,597	584	0.2	
	,		-	,			
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	242,594	2,065	0.9	271,892	1,066	0.4	
Manufactures n.e.c.	14,475	122	0.8	69,955	186	0.3	
Other sectors Total	549,306 1,586,012	-650 6,411	-0.1 0.4	271,694 2,134,313	<u>642</u> 6,708	0.2	

 Table 3.B
 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on U.S. global trade, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

		Scenar			Scenario B			
		acity utilizatio			-	al wages and		•
GTAP sector	Out Quantity	Revenue	Labor q Skilled	Unskilled	Out Quantity	1	Labor q Skilled	,
GTAP Sector	Quantity	Revenue	Skilled	Percent of	,	Revenue	Skilled	Unskilled
Paddy and processed rice	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Wheat	-0.5	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5
Cereal grains n.e.c.	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5
Vegetables, fruit, nuts	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4
Oil seeds	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
Sugarcane, sugar beet	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2
Plant-based fibers	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
Crops n.e.c.	-0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1
Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.4
Animal products n.e.c.	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Raw milk	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4
Wool, silkworm cocoons	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
Forestry	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2
Fishing	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2
Coal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1
Oil and gas	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1
Minerals n.e.c.	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2
Bovine meat products	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.3
Meat products n.e.c.	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7
Vegetable oils and fats	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Dairy products	0.3 0.0	0.4 0.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5 0.2	0.4 0.1	0.4 0.1
Sugar Each producto p.o.o.	0.0	0.1	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.1	0.1 0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
Food products n.e.c. Beverages and tobacco products	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2
Textiles	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
Wearing apparel	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	0.3	0.1	-0.1	0.3
Leather products	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Wood products	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Paper products, publishing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Petroleum, coal products	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Mineral products n.e.c.	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Ferrous metals	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Metals n.e.c.	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Metal products	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Motor vehicles and parts	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Transport equipment n.e.c.	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Electronic equipment	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2
Manufactures n.e.c.	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Other sectors	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2

### **Table 4** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on U.S. output and employment under two scenarios about capacity utilization and employment

	Scenario	A	Scenario B				
	Fixed U.S. capacity utilization	on and employment	Fixed real wages and re	eturns to capital			
State	Real gross state product	State employment	Real gross state product	State employment			
		Perce	ent				
Alabama	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
Alaska	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
Arizona	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Arkansas	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
California	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
Colorado	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2			
Connecticut	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Delaware	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
Florida	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Georgia	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2			
Hawaii	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0			
Idaho	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Illinois	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Indiana	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
lowa	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.6			
Kansas	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4			
Kentucky	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Louisiana	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Maine	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Maryland	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
Massachusetts	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Michigan	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Minnesota	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Mississippi	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Missouri	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Montana	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2			
Nebraska	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.6			
Nevada	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
New Hampshire	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
New Jersey	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2			
New Mexico	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
New York	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
North Carolina	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
North Dakota	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Ohio	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Oklahoma	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3			
Oregon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Pennsylvania	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Rhode Island	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
South Carolina	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2			
South Dakota	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2			
Tennessee	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.4			
Texas	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Utah	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2			
Vermont	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0			
Virginia	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0			
	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2			
Washington							
West Virginia	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1			
Wisconsin	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1			
Wyoming	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2			

## **Table 5** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on real gross product and employment, by U.S. state, under two scenarios about U.S. capacity utilization and employment

	Scenar	rio A	Scenario B Fixed real wages and returns to capital			
	Fixed U.S. capacity utiliz	ation and employment				
	Real gross regional		Real gross regional			
Region	product	Regional employment	product	Regional employment		
		Perce	ent			
North West	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2		
Western	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		
Rocky Mountain	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3		
Mid-America	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2		
South West	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2		
Midwest	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2		
Great Lakes	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1		
Southeastern	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2		
Mid-Atlantic	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2		
New England	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1		

**Table 6** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on real gross product and employment, by U.S. region, under two scenarios about U.S. capacity utilization and employment

Note: In this and the following tables, U.S. states have been grouped to ten regions according to the following:

Northwest: Alaska, Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana.

Western: California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii.

Rocky Mountain: Utah, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota. Mid-America: Kansas, Missouri, Arkansas.

Southwest: Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana.

Midwest: Minnesota, Iowa, Wisconsin, Illinois.

Great Lakes: Michigan, Indiana, Ohio.

Southeastern: Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina.

Mid-Atlantic: Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York. New England: Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine.

State	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction		Nondurable manufacturing	Transportation, communications and utilities	Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
Alabama	1.1	-0.1	0.0		Percent		0.0	0.0	0.0
Alaska	0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.2 -0.1	0.0	0.0			0.0
Arizona			0.0	-0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Arkansas	0.9	-0.2	0.0		0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0
California	1.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Colorado	0.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Connecticut	4.0	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Delaware	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Florida	0.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Georgia	0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
-	1.2	0.0	0.1	-0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Hawaii	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Idaho	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Illinois	1.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Indiana	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
lowa	3.8	0.2	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Kansas	4.3	-0.1	0.2	-0.2	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1
Kentucky	1.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Louisiana	0.8	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Maine	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Maryland	0.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Massachusetts	0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Michigan	0.4	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Minnesota	1.6	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mississippi	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Missouri	2.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montana	2.3	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Nebraska	4.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Nevada	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Hampshire	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
New Jersey	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
New Mexico	2.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New York	0.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0
North Carolina	1.7	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Dakota	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ohio	0.9	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Oklahoma	3.5	-0.2	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Oregon	0.8	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Pennsylvania	0.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Rhode Island	0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
South Carolina	2.1	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0
South Dakota	3.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
Tennessee	1.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Texas	2.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Utah	2.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vermont	0.5	0.0	-0.1	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Virginia	3.4	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Washington	1.3	0.0	0.1	-0.4	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0
West Virginia	1.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wisconsin	0.7	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Wyoming	4.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

**Table 7.A** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on employment, by U.S. state and sector, Scenario A (fixed capacity utilization and employment)

State	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable	Nondurable	Transportation, communications and utilities	Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
Alabama	1.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Alaska	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Arizona	1.0	0.0	0.1	-0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Arkansas	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
California	0.6	0.1	0.2	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
Colorado	4.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Connecticut	0.4	0.2	0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Delaware	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
Florida	0.7	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
Georgia	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
Hawaii	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Idaho	1.5	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Illinois	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Indiana	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Iowa	4.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	1.4	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.1
Kansas	4.5	0.4	0.0	-0.1	1.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
Kentucky	4.3 2.0	0.2	0.4	-0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0
Louisiana	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Maine	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1
Maryland	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Massachusetts	0.5	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Michigan	0.5				0.1				
Minnesota	0.8 1.8	0.0	0.1 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.1	0.1 0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1 0.1
Mississippi	1.6	0.0 0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.1
Missouri	2.5					0.2			
Montana	2.5	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.2	0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1
Nebraska	2.4 4.9			0.0	0.2 2.1				
Nevada		0.3	0.5	0.2	2.1 0.2	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4
New Hampshire	1.7	0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
New Jersey	0.4	0.1				0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
New Mexico	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
New York	2.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
North Carolina	0.6	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
	1.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
North Dakota Ohio	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Oklahoma	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Oregon	3.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
-	0.9	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Pennsylvania	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Rhode Island	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
South Carolina	2.3	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.1
South Dakota	4.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	1.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2
Tennessee	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Texas	2.4	0.1	0.2	-0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Utah	2.6	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Vermont	0.6	0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Virginia	3.6	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1
Washington	1.4	0.2	0.2	-0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1
West Virginia	2.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Wisconsin	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Wyoming	4.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1

 Table 7.B
 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on employment, by U.S. state and sector, Scenario B

 (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

Region	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable manufacturing	Nondurable manufacturing		Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
North West	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
Western	0.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Rocky Mountain	3.4	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Mid-America	2.5	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
South West	2.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Midwest	1.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Great Lakes	0.9	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Southeastern	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Mid-Atlantic	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New England	0.3	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1

**Table 8.A** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on employment, by region and sector, Scenario A (fixed capacity utilization and employment)

**Table 8.B** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on employment, by region and sector, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

Region	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable manufacturing	Nondurable manufacturing		Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
North West	1.4	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
Western	0.6	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Rocky Mountain	3.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Mid-America	2.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
South West	2.5	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Midwest	2.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
Great Lakes	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Southeastern	1.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Mid-Atlantic	1.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
New England	0.5	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

State	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable manufacturing	Nondurable manufacturing	Transportation, communications and utilities	Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
Alabama	0.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Alaska	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Arizona	0.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0
Arkansas	1.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
California	0.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Colorado	3.5	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Connecticut	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Delaware	0.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Florida	0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Georgia	1.1	0.0	0.1	-0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Hawaii	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Idaho	1.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Illinois	1.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Indiana	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
lowa	3.4	0.2	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Kansas	3.8	0.0	0.2	-0.2	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1
Kentucky	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Louisiana	0.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Maine	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Maryland	0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Massachusetts	0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Michigan	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Minnesota	1.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mississippi	1.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missouri	1.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Montana	1.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nebraska	4.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	1.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Nevada	4.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
New Hampshire	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
New Jersey	0.0	-0.1	-0.1 -0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1 -0.1	-0.1 -0.1	-0.1
New Mexico								-0.1	
New York	1.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
North Carolina	0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0
North Dakota	1.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ohio	0.9	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oklahoma	0.7	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
<u> </u>	3.0	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Oregon Pennsylvania	0.7	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
,	0.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Rhode Island	0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
South Carolina	2.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0
South Dakota	3.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
Tennessee	1.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Texas	1.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Utah	2.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vermont	0.3	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Virginia	3.1	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Washington	1.2	0.0	0.1	-0.4	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
West Virginia	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wisconsin	0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
Wyoming	3.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

**Table 9.A** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on gross output, by U.S. state and sector, Scenario A (fixed capacity utilization and employment)

State	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable manufacturing	Nondurable manufacturing	Transportation, communications and utilities	Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
Alabama	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Alaska	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Arizona	0.9	0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Arkansas	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
California	0.5	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Colorado	3.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Connecticut	0.3	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Delaware	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Florida	0.6	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
Georgia	1.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
Hawaii	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Idaho	1.3	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Illinois	1.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Indiana	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Iowa	3.6	0.4	0.1	0.2	1.4	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.4
Kansas	4.0	0.4	0.0	-0.1	1.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3
Kentucky	4.0 1.6	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Louisiana	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Maine	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Maryland	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Massachusetts	0.3	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Michigan					0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Minnesota	0.5	0.0	0.1 0.2	0.0	0.1				0.1
Mississippi	1.5	0.0		0.0		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Missouri	1.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Montana	2.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Nebraska	1.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Nevada	4.3	0.3	0.5	0.2	2.0	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4
	1.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
New Hampshire	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
New Jersey	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
New Mexico	1.7	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
New York	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
North Carolina	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
North Dakota	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Ohio	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Oklahoma	3.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Oregon	0.8	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Pennsylvania	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Rhode Island	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
South Carolina	2.2	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1
South Dakota	3.6	0.2	0.4	0.1	1.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2
Tennessee	1.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Texas	2.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Utah	2.2	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Vermont	0.5	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Virginia	3.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1
Washington	1.3	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1
West Virginia	1.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
Wisconsin	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Wyoming	3.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1

 Table 9.B
 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on gross output, by U.S. state and sector, Scenario B

 (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

Region	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable manufacturing	Nondurable manufacturing	Transportation, communications and utilities	Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
North West	1.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Western	0.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Rocky Mountain	2.9	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Mid-America	2.1	0.0	0.1	-0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
South West	2.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Midwest	1.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Great Lakes	0.7	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Southeastern	1.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Mid-Atlantic	1.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New England	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1

**Table 10.A** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on gross output, by region and sector, Scenario A (fixed capacity utilization and employment)

**Table 10.B** U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated effects on gross output, by region and sector, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

Region	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	Mining	Construction	Durable manufacturing	Nondurable manufacturing	Transportation, communications and utilities	Wholesale and retail trade	Finance, insurance and real estate	Other services
					Percent				
North West	1.2	0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
Western	0.5	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Rocky Mountain	3.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Mid-America	2.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
South West	2.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Midwest	1.8	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Great Lakes	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Southeastern	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Mid-Atlantic	1.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
New England	0.4	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### Section III

This section provides more detailed simulated results of the U.S.-Korea FTA under scenario B.

Table 11 shows job changes for the U.S. economy as a whole and by sector. Table 12 shows job changes for each state as a whole and by sector. Note that approximately 86 percent of the job gains are in the services sectors.

Table 13 shows job changes for ten U.S. regions<sup>5</sup> and the District of Columbia as a whole and by sector. The regional results were obtained by summing the state level effects shown in table 12.

In order to calculate the FTA results for jobs by sector and state, additional job statistics for 2009 were obtained from the Census Bureau and BEA.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The U.S. states have been grouped to ten regions according to the following: <u>Northwest</u>: Alaska, Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Montana; <u>Western</u>: California, Nevada, Arizona and Hawaii; <u>Rocky Mountain</u>: Utah, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota; <u>Mid-America</u>: Kansas, Missouri and Arkansas; <u>Southwest</u>: Texas, Oklahoma and Louisiana; <u>Midwest</u>: Minnesota, Iowa, Wisconsin and Illinois; <u>Great Lakes</u>: Michigan, Indiana and Ohio; <u>Southeastern</u>: Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina and North Carolina; <u>Mid-Atlantic</u>: Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York; and <u>New England</u>: Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire and Maine.
<sup>6</sup> State-level employment data are collected every 5 years by the Bureau of the Census as part of the Economic Census. These data are collected at the NAICS 6 digit level by state. In this technical assistance, the 2007 Census data were projected to 2009 using BEA's Regional Economic Accounts data. These data provide total full-time and part-time employment by broad NAICS industry, by state. Several concordances were applied to map the Census statistics from the NAICS sector system to the sectors in the GTAP and USAGE models. The Bureau of Labor Statistics is another source of jobs statistics by sector and state. The Census statistics, however, allow a more precise mapping of the jobs statistics to the sectors in the GTAP and USAGE models than the BLS statistics allow.

Sector	Number of jobs	
Jobs result for U.S. economy as a whole	277,061	
Sector ich regulta		
Sector job results	0.077	
Agriculture	8,277	
Fishing and forestry	1,582	
Coal	112	
Oil and gas	639	
Minerals n.e.c.	542	
Bovine meat products	1,783	
Meat products n.e.c.	2,162	
Vegetable oils and fats	13	
Dairy products	472	
Sugar	19	
Food products n.e.c.	1,425	
Beverages and tobacco products	249	
Textiles	-437	
Wearing apparel	489	
Leather products	21	
Wood products	1,120	
Paper products, publishing	2,561	
Petroleum, coal products	113	
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	4,670	
Mineral products n.e.c.	747	
Ferrous metals	350	
Metals n.e.c.	205	
Metal products	1,593	
Motor vehicles and parts	300	
Transport equipment n.e.c.	35	
Electronic equipment	-426	
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	5,683	
Manufactures n.e.c.	473	
Electric utilities	598	
Gas manufacture, distribution Water utilities	356 287	
Construction Trade, wholesale and retail	15,842	
	56,181	
Transportation services n.e.c.	5,483	
Water transportation	301	
Air transportation	1,369	
Communication services	1,788	
Financial services n.e.c.	18,673	
Insurance	5,404	
Business services n.e.c.	32,244	
Recreational and other services	22,086	
Public administration, defense, education and health services	78,920	

Table 11 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated results for U.S. job changes, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

Sources: GTAP model simulations and 2009 U.S. labor statistics from the U.S. Census Bureau and the Bureau of Economic Analysis. *Note:* Sector-level results do not necessarily sum to the economy-wide result in the first row because the model aggregates all labor occupations to just two labor types. Thus these results are approximate.

					Ŭ		Connectic		Ŭ	
ector	Alabama	Alaska	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	ut	Delaware	Florida	Georgia
bs result for state as a whole	3,083	326	2,379	2,556	28,148	6,247	1,212	667	16,594	10,784
actor ich roculta										
ector job results	718	2	286	845	1,210	1,922	28	20	528	866
Agriculture Fishing and forestry	21	33	200 -1	-2	1,210	1,922	20 16	20	526 467	000 6
Coal	9	0	-1	-2	1,018	9	0	0	407	(
Oil and gas	9 4	3	2	17	16	33	2	0	-2	(
Minerals n.e.c.	4	3	2	8	25	13	2	0	-2 51	12
Bovine meat products	13	3	67	15	768	274	0	1	139	106
Meat products n.e.c.	104	0	-5	15	128	-4	-2	43	26	308
Vegetable oils and fats	104	0	-5 0	157	120	-4 0	-2	43 0	20	300
Dairy products	1	0	6	1	53	2	1	0	4	3
Sugar	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	
Food products n.e.c.	16	19	4	16	202	24	3	2	37	4(
Beverages and tobacco products	3	0	4	10	202 91	8	2	1	17	-40
Textiles	-1	0	4	0	52	6	0	0	17	37
Wearing apparel	-5	0	5 1	7	383	3	0	0	30	27
Leather products	-5	0	0	, 1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Wood products	18	0	10	8	17	12	3	1	2	19
Paper products, publishing	21	1	18	29	208	51	22	4	111	92
Petroleum, coal products	4	1	10	23	200	2	1	4	3	
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	31	2	18	25	282	32	12	-3	104	94
Mineral products n.e.c.	11	0	9	6	72	14	4	1	40	3
Ferrous metals	-3	0	-1	3	-5	0	-1	0	0 1	(
Metals n.e.c.	-3	0	-3	-1	-11	0	-4	0	-1	
Metal products	11	0	-4	7	-36	11	-8	1	23	24
Motor vehicles and parts	-15	0	-5	-5	-53	1	-5	1	-9	
Transport equipment n.e.c.	-16	0	-63	-10	-329	-8	-172	-2	-46	-82
Electronic equipment	-7	0	-79	-5	-632	-17	-16	-2	-114	-20
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	10	0	6	15	68	31	-14	1	41	2
Manufactures n.e.c.	4	0	4	4	55	7	4	0	20	14
Electric utilities	16	1	9	. 9	71	17	5	2	42	42
Gas manufacture, distribution	11	3	8	11	46	12	4	- 1	20	23
Water utilities	2	0	2	3	24	4	1	1	10	
Construction	227	21	143	160	1,462	374	118	49	902	620
Trade, wholesale and retail	740	79	689	503	9,558	1,343	312	229	5,537	3.348
Transportation services n.e.c.	92	6	103	120	1,181	180	30	16	498	34
Water transportation	9	6	3	12	67	3	10	3	122	18
Air transportation	12	4	17	11	87	39	3	2	30	5
Communication services	24	5	24	19	264	60	21	6	170	110
Financial services n.e.c.	207	20	221	155	2,416	473	153	61	1,336	750
Insurance	75	3	87	35	642	182	95	17	454	256
Business services n.e.c.	457	61	417	332	4,244	893	218	102	2,515	1,752
Recreational and other services	318	50	439	216	2,915	616	212	83	1,678	1,05
Public administration, defense,										
education, health services	543	49	634	454	5,484	1,027	519	144	3,243	1,663

Table 12 U.SKorea FTA: Simulated results for state-level job changes, Scenario B (fixed real wages and	returns to capital)

Sector	Hawaii	Idaho	Illinois	Indiana	Iowa	Kansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Maine	Maryland
Jobs result for state as a whole	186	1,436	11,462	4,087	10,877	7,682	3,702	4,324	652	4,812
Sector job results										
Agriculture	33	572	1,343	1,083	3,694	2,859	1,759	393	40	108
Fishing and forestry	27	32	34	10	110	68	1	111	0	28
Coal	0	0	19	10	0	1	41	1	0	2
Oil and gas	0	2	10	1	1	52	8	63	0	0
Minerals n.e.c.	1	4	26	10	17	20	7	4	0	6
Bovine meat products	0	29	285	129	866	907	59	8	0	38
Meat products n.e.c.	-2	-3	-76	-25	-20	-9	-25	32	0	16
Vegetable oils and fats	0	0	7	5	12	1	0	0	0	0
Dairy products	0	5	11	3	20	1	4	2	0	4
Sugar	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1
Food products n.e.c.	1	31	146	114	181	77	12	12	17	10
Beverages and tobacco products	0	0	12	7	10	5	17	3	2	5
Textiles	0	0	7	5	6	5	4	3	1	4
Wearing apparel	1	0	7	1	3	3	4	2	0	5
Leather products	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1
Wood products	0	3	22	30	40	17	15	3	1	5
Paper products, publishing	2	8	139	49	111	66	43	27	9	47
Petroleum, coal products	0	0	11	7	2	7	2	19	0	2
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	1	8	125	83	122	77	25	45	5	38
Mineral products n.e.c.	1	2	19	13	17	14	9	10	1	9
Ferrous metals	0	0	-1	-17	7	3	-2	1	0	-1
Metals n.e.c.	0	0	-4	-14	3	0	-10	0	0	-1
Metal products	0	1	15	-1	34	23	3	8	0	6
Motor vehicles and parts	0	0	-7	-65	5	7	-10	2	-1	-1
Transport equipment n.e.c.	0	1	-5	0	10	-147	-2	- 1	-2	-9
Electronic equipment	0	-56	-34	-26	-5	-4	0	-1	-12	-34
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	0	5	38	12	118	68	5	12	0	19
Manufactures n.e.c.	- 1	2	26	11	9	10	5	7	1	4
Electric utilities	2	4	32	15	29	24	10	15	2	17
Gas manufacture, distribution	1	2	28	13	15	18	8	14	-	10
Water utilities	0	-	10	5	10	14	4	6	0	5
Construction	19	89	649	270	540	352	236	263	54	358
Trade, wholesale and retail	34	298	2,483	952	2,366	1,697	808	1,265	173	1,293
Transportation services n.e.c.	1	67	464	194	523	355	136	136	19	135
Water transportation	14	0	21	3	11	3	14	104	4	19
Air transportation	-7	1	65	15	34	35	19	14	2	23
Communication services	2	13	100	34	66	92	27	42	7	53
Financial services n.e.c.	16	85	999	280	570	441	243	268	44	375
Insurance	4	25	328	112	245	184	65	70	17	109
Business services n.e.c.	29	229	1,762	578	243 998	839	509	562	89	756
Recreational and other services	-9	120	1,286	464	679	503	347	398	99	583
Public administration, defense,	-3	120	1,200	FOF	015	000	1+0	000	55	505
education, health services	31	221	2,559	992	1,600	1,117	649	728	171	1,059

Table 12 U.SKorea FTA: Simulated results for state-level j	job changes, Scenario B	(fixed real wages and returns	s to capital), <i>continued</i>

Sector	Massachusetts	Michigan	Minnesota	Mississippi	Missouri	Montana	Nebraska	Nevada	New Hampshire	New Jerse
Jobs result for state as a whole	2,640	3,560	5,531	2,533	5,887	1,153	7,582	1,976	638	8,76
Sector job results	29	437	1,639	642	2,513	779	2,462	82	20	7
Agriculture	29 84		1,039	46	2,513	-3			20	5
Fishing and forestry	04	10 0		40	° 0	-3	16 0	10 0	4	5
Coal			0		1					
Oil and gas	1	12	1	15		4	3	4	0	
Minerals n.e.c.	4	3	0	2	16	6	7	17	2	
Bovine meat products	18	71	246	18	117	9	1,112	0	0	6
Meat products n.e.c.	-11	-22	-36	96	-10	-1	-13	0	0	1
Vegetable oils and fats	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	0	0	
Dairy products	2	8	23	1	22	0	4	2	0	
Sugar	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	_
Food products n.e.c.	9	13	82	7	25	2	57	4	1	3
Beverages and tobacco products		5	4	1	7	1	3	1	1	1
Textiles	-1	7	3	4	6	0	4	1	1	
Wearing apparel	-2	1	1	8	7	0	0	0	0	
Leather products	0	-1	-2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	
Wood products	4	12	24	20	15	2	14	4	2	1
Paper products, publishing	37	35	81	16	73	6	64	12	7	11
Petroleum, coal products	2	3	4	4	4	3	0	0	0	
Chemical, rubber, plastic product		27	45	27	69	2	57	9	2	11
Mineral products n.e.c.	4	8	15	7	13	2	9	6	2	1
Ferrous metals	-1	-15	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	
Metals n.e.c.	-6	-10	-1	-1	-7	-3	1	-1	-3	
Metal products	-7	-13	13	5	9	0	20	1	0	1
Motor vehicles and parts	-4	-39	1	-7	1	0	7	-1	-1	
Transport equipment n.e.c.	-20	-12	6	3	-40	0	0	-4	-5	
Electronic equipment	-65	-16	-14	-3	-14	-1	-2	-5	-11	-4
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	-6	-66	44	8	15	1	67	2	0	3
Manufactures n.e.c.	18	8	10	4	9	2	5	6	3	1
Electric utilities	7	18	22	11	18	4	5	7	2	1
Gas manufacture, distribution	9	13	13	8	14	2	12	6	2	1
Water utilities	1	1	4	3	5	1	3	1	0	1
Construction	194	244	315	170	392	82	347	110	54	52
Trade, wholesale and retail	507	832	1,113	668	1,361	266	1,577	658	164	2,13
Transportation services n.e.c.	65	109	189	103	236	42	428	78	15	25
Water transportation	10	10	3	6	14	0	3	5	1	3
Air transportation	6	17	23	10	23	6	37	15	2	4
Communication services	34	37	39	21	53	8	40	18	6	8
Financial services n.e.c.	236	308	416	160	420	73	406	183	49	70
Insurance	99	129	148	33	158	16	147	45	25	29
Business services n.e.c.	365	642	654	311	878	135	655	270	81	1,40
Recreational and other services	480	560	538	197	578	106	511	305	110	1,00
Public administration, defense,					=-		4			
education, health services	998	1,278	1,214	380	1,153	197	1,053	280	193	2,06

Table 12 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated results for state-level job changes, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital), continued

Sector		New Mexico	New York	North Carolina Nor	th Dakota	Ohio	Oklahoma	Oreaon	Pennsylvania Rho	ode Island	South Carolina
	for state as a whole	1,321	16,711	7,651	785	5,497	5,615	2,725	9,963	523	4,547
Sector job	roculto										
Sector Job	Agriculture	527	323	1,300	439	890	3,332	715	783	3	761
	Fishing and forestry	0	90	1,300	-1	21	15	147	1	16	24
	Coal	5	0	0	3	11	2	0	24	0	24
	Oil and gas	14	7	1	7	17	100	0	20	0	0
	Minerals n.e.c.	3	26	15	2	17	14	7	18	1	6
	Bovine meat products	0	34	472	11	47	81	63	288	0	213
	Meat products n.e.c.	-3	-13	109	-2	-46	-12	8	-14	-3	122
	Vegetable oils and fats	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	122
	Dairy products	3	25	2	0	17	2	5	14	0	2
	Sugar	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Food products n.e.c.	4	49	29	5	42	11	29	55	1	18
	Beverages and tobacco products	- 0	20	51	0	7	3	5	22	1	2
	Textiles	0	20	-13	1	5	3	2	11	-1	5
	Wearing apparel	0	75	-34	0	2	3	6	12	-1	24
	Leather products	0	-3	-34	0	0	0	0	-4	-1	2-
	Wood products	2	20	41	3	21	8	2	44	1	-6
	Paper products, publishing	7	175	78	5	78	33	19	137	7	20
	Petroleum, coal products	2	4	2	1	70	7	1	13	0	20
	Chemical, rubber, plastic products	5	131	66	3	65	33	21	91	4	2
	Mineral products n.e.c.	4	28	18	2	15	14	8	27	4 0	
	Ferrous metals	0	-3	-1	0	-18	3	-2	-16	0	-:
	Metals n.e.c.	0	-9	-6	0	-16	0	-6	-7	-1	-(
	Metal products	0	5	14	1	-7	11	-3	9	-4	
	Motor vehicles and parts	0	-38	-21	0	-48	2	-3	-9	0	-38
	Transport equipment n.e.c.	-7	-48	-3	-1	-72	-25	-21	-60	1	-1(
	Electronic equipment	-31	-161	-38	-1	-28	-1	-92	-65	-7	-30
	Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	2	30	-4	15	-47	29	5	16	-3	-(
	Manufactures n.e.c.	2	48	12	1	15	6	6	13	8	,
	Electric utilities	6	62	20	3	21	22	7	28	1	1
	Gas manufacture, distribution	4	37	10	4	16	17	4	23	1	1
	Water utilities	1	13	5	0	3	7	2	11	0	10
	Construction	91	952	498	49	369	333	157	634	36	24
	Trade, wholesale and retail	303	4,439	1,753	188	1,292	1,222	837	2,294	101	2,189
	Transportation services n.e.c.	58	610	253	42	207	169	117	315	10	2,10
	Water transportation	1	46	11	1	11	5	6	12	4	10
	Air transportation	4	44	30	3	31	19	3	47	2	1
	Communication services	18	214	83	5	58	51	26	92	7	40
	Financial services n.e.c.	83	1,417	524	41	474	339	170	735	48	280
	Insurance	18	532	136	21	193	109	71	307	17	109
	Business services n.e.c.	189	2,465	1,183	74	880	652	361	1,310	69	71:
	Recreational and other services	138	1,767	835	59	730	413	318	1,253	92	46
	Public administration, defense, education, health services	245	4,877	1,348	116	1,638	843	666	2,674	160	607

 Table 12
 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated results for state-level job changes, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital), continued

tor	South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas	Utah	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia	Wisconsin	Wyoming	District o Columbi
s result for state as a whole	2,282	5,358	26,993	1,893	118	10,838	7,025	1,192	3,743	897	47
tor job results											
Agriculture	1,296	1,393	6,690	509	57	1,966	1,306	470	897	569	
Fishing and forestry	3	0	283	27	-1	96	265	-1	15	0	
Coal	0	3	12	4	0	11	1	49	0	14	
Oil and gas	2	2	274	6	0	3	0	14	0	21	
Minerals n.e.c.	3	11	42	2	1	11	10	2	10	5	
Bovine meat products	231	37	994	62	0	269	468	0	205	0	
Meat products n.e.c.	1	-36	29	-2	-1	128	42	5	-71	0	
Vegetable oils and fats	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dairy products	7	3	18	11	7	20	7	0	52	0	
Sugar	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Food products n.e.c.	4	29	74	9	1	20	80	1	29	0	
Beverages and tobacco products	1	10	22	1	0	29	10	2	7	1	
Textiles	3	4	23	1	0	13	6	0	4	0	
Wearing apparel	1	4	29	1	0	13	12	0	-2	0	
Leather products	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-5	0	
Wood products	6	18	52	8	1	16	-6	4	28	1	
Paper products, publishing	17	58	162	18	2	89	44	9	71	4	1
Petroleum, coal products	0	2	44	2	0	1	5	1	1	2	
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	8	55	195	19	-1	55	51	13	42	8	
Mineral products n.e.c.	3	14	63	6	2	25	22	3	10	2	
Ferrous metals	0	0	2	-1	0	0	-1	-2	4	0	
Metals n.e.c.	0	-5	-8	-2	-1	0	-13	-9	-3	0	
Metal products	4	10	45	2	0	15	3	3	5	1	
Motor vehicles and parts	4	-15	-7	-9	0	-11	-4	0	-7	0	
Transport equipment n.e.c.	0	-5	-220	-42	-4	-5	-253	-8	5	1	
Electronic equipment	-1	-7	-210	-7	-40	-41	-62	-1	-16	0	
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	14	19	114	13	-3	27	8	2	22	3	
Manufactures n.e.c.	11	9	42	9	1	12	11	0	11	1	
Electric utilities	7	7	84	5	1	29	11	5	14	4	
Gas manufacture, distribution	4	4	64	2	1	20	5	7	8	4	
Water utilities	2	5	35	2	0	10	8	1	2	1	
Construction	125	364	1,692	115	16	668	434	75	251	68	1
Trade, wholesale and retail	447	1,225	6,730	493	34	3,323	2,669	296	696	198	З
Transportation services n.e.c.	109	240	1,064	80	8	351	270	33	176	31	
Water transportation Air transportation	0	17	85	1	0	25	27	1	5	2	
Communication services	5 15	26 58	103	9 19	0 2	31	13	5	14	4 5	
Financial services n.e.c.	15 160	58 377	233 1,930	18 182	2	112 711	82 479	12 71	34 253	5 51	8
Insurance											
Business services n.e.c.	31 182	143 803	693 3,945	51 262	5 13	190 1,553	147 1,020	18 129	142 477	10 114	12
Recreational and other services	182	803 562	3,945 2,552	262 195	44	1,553	706	129	477	71	12
Public administration, defense,	140	502	2,002	190	44	1,020	700	121	437	11	5
education, health services	320	1,092	4,241	329	66	1,579	1,241	255	897	106	14

<b>Table 12</b> U.SKorea FTA: Simulated results for state-level job changes, Scer	enario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital). <i>continued</i>
---	--

Sources: USAGE model (state-level extension) simulations and 2009 U.S. labor statistics from the U.S. Census Bureau and the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Note: Sector-level results for each state do not necessarily sum to the state-wide result in the first row because the model aggregates all labor occupations to a single labor input. Thus these results are approximate.

Sector	North West	Wostors	Rocky	Mid Amoriac	South	Midwoot	Great	Southcostors	Mid Atlantia	New	District o
Sector lobs result for state as a whole	North West 12,665	Western 32,689	21,006	Mid-America 16,126	West 36,931	Midwest 31,613	Lakes 13,144	Southeastern 54,254	Mid-Atlantic 52,945	England 5,783	Columbi 47
	12,005	32,009	21,000	10,120	30,931	51,015	13,144	54,254	52,945	5,765	47
Sector job results											
Agriculture	3,374	1,610	7,724	6,217	10,415	7,573	2,411	7,968	3,746	177	
Fishing and forestry	475	1,654	50	74	408	166	42	715	271	119	
Coal	4	4	34	1	15	19	21	54	85	0	
Oil and gas	9	22	85	70	437	12	30	28	44	3	
Minerals n.e.c.	30	43	35	44	60	54	29	111	71	11	
Bovine meat products	571	836	1,690	1,039	1,084	1,601	247	1,057	693	18	
Meat products n.e.c.	46	121	-22	138	49	-203	-93	703	175	-17	
Vegetable oils and fats	0	1	4	2	2	22	6	4	0	0	
Dairy products	18	61	27	24	22	105	29	20	71	9	
Sugar	3	3	6	0	6	3	1	4	1	0	
Food products n.e.c.	162	210	102	117	96	438	169	188	174	31	
Beverages and tobacco products	15	96	14	12	28	33	19	117	90	11	
Textiles	8	56	15	10	29	20	16	54	59	0	
Wearing apparel	18	386	5	16	34	9	4	57	111	-3	
Leather products	0	0	-1	1	0	-9	-1	-4	-9	-1	
Wood products	0	30	46	40	64	114	64	127	106	13	
Paper products, publishing	78	240	167	168	222	402	162	445	579	83	1
Petroleum, coal products	10	23	9	12	70	18	17	20	30	4	
Chemical, rubber, plastic products	85	309	132	171	274	335	175	423	437	39	
Mineral products n.e.c.	34	88	39	34	87	62	35	151	112	13	
Ferrous metals	-4	-6	2	7	6	11	-51	-5	-24	-3	
Metals n.e.c.	-21	-15	-1	-9	-8	-5	-39	-33	-28	-14	
Metal products	2	-39	39	39	64	67	-22	95	54	-19	
Motor vehicles and parts	-7	-59	3	3	-3	-7	-152	-119	-56	-11	
Transport equipment n.e.c.	-273	-396	-58	-197	-244	17	-84	-161	-134	-203	
Electronic equipment	-211	-717	-59	-23	-212	-69	-71	-219	-347	-151	
Machinery and equipment n.e.c.	18	76	145	99	155	222	-102	101	125	-26	
Manufactures n.e.c.	21	67	36	23	55	57	34	74	91	35	
Electric utilities	27	88	48	51	121	97	53	165	160	19	
Gas manufacture, distribution	16	60	42	43	95	64	42	94	115	16	
Water utilities	12	26	14	22	48	27	10	52	52	4	
Construction	784	1,734	1,168	904	2,288	1,756	882	3,264	3,263	472	1
Trade, wholesale and retail	4,149	10,939	4,548	3,561	9,217	6,658	3,077	16,268	14,009	1,290	3
Transportation services n.e.c.	502	1,364	928	711	1,368	1,352	510	1,829	1,713	146	
Water transportation	40	90	12	29	194	40	24	207	140	29	
Air transportation	27	113	101	69	136	137	63	186	201	15	
Communication services	135	307	161	164	326	238	129	545	570	76	
Financial services n.e.c.	827	2,836	1,395	1,016	2,537	2,237	1,063	3,882	4,076	537	8
Insurance	263	777	460	377	872	863	433	1,271	1,465	258	
Business services n.e.c.	1,806	4,959	2,367	2,049	5,159	3,892	2,100	8,243	7,716	835	12
Recreational and other services	1,300	3,649	1,734	1,297	3,362	2,940	1,754	5,459	5,839	1,037	5
Public administration, defense,	.,	.,	.,	-,	.,	,	,	2,100	-,0	.,007	
education, health services	2,374	6,430	3,196	2,724	5,811	6,270	3,908	9,527	12,648	2,107	14

Table 13 U.S.-Korea FTA: Simulated results for region-level job changes, Scenario B (fixed real wages and returns to capital)

Sources: Table 12.